

Dissociation of dihydrogen and hydrogen sulfide over a sulfided NiMo–alumina catalyst as evidenced by D₂S–H₂ isotopic exchange

P. d'Araujo, C. Thomas, L. Vivier, D. Duprez, G. Pérot¹

*URA CNRS 350, Catalyse en Chimie Organique, Université de Poitiers,
40, avenue du Recteur Pineau, 86022 Poitiers Cedex, France*

and

S. Kasztelan

Institut Français du Pétrole, BP311, 92506 Rueil-Malmaison Cedex, France

Received 6 June 1995; accepted 19 June 1995

To investigate the possible role of surface sulfur in the activation of dihydrogen, the reaction of D₂S with H₂ was carried out at 423 K on a presulfided NiMo–Al₂O₃ catalyst. The incorporation of D atoms in H₂ shows that the catalyst is capable of dissociating H₂S and H₂ into species which by recombination make the exchange of hydrogen atoms possible between these molecules. This can be considered as evidence of the involvement of surface sulfur in the activation of dihydrogen.

Keywords: D₂S; H₂; dissociation; isotopic exchange; NiMo–alumina catalyst

1. Introduction

Hydrodesulfurization and hydrodenitrogenation are industrially very important reactions which take place generally on sulfided CoMo– and NiMo–Al₂O₃ catalysts in the presence of dihydrogen and hydrogen sulfide. They involve various steps which include hydrogenation steps and carbon–heteroatom bond cleavages. To identify the mechanism of these reactions, it is essential to understand the processes of adsorption (and of activation) of dihydrogen and of hydrogen sulfide. Two aspects of the question can be considered: first the dissociation (and/or activation) of dihydrogen, second the existence and the role of sulfhydryl groups on CoMo– or NiMo–Al₂O₃ catalysts.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed.

According to Delmon [1] hydrogen atoms resulting from the activation of dihydrogen on specific centres of CoMo–Al₂O₃ catalysts (Co₉S₈ phase) would spill over to hydrogenation centres located on the MoS₂ phase. Another suggestion concerning the possible source of H₂ was made by Hanlon [2] to explain the effect of the molar ratio hydrogen sulfide to hydrogen on the activity in pyridine hydrogenolysis. This author proposed an equilibrium reaction between H₂S, H₂ and the catalyst surface:



However, it is well known [3–5] that the isotopic exchange between H₂ and D₂ is possible over sulfided Mo/alumina catalysts, as well as between H₂S and D₂ which indicates that dihydrogen and hydrogen sulfide do dissociate, but it has not yet been demonstrated that H₂S could be able to provide some hydrogen which could be involved in hydrogenation reactions.

Very important roles are commonly attributed to SH groups in the catalytic activity of sulfided CoMo– or NiMo–Al₂O₃. They can actually be the source of the protonic acidity needed in the C–heteroatom bond cleavages or act as nucleophiles in the same reactions [6–9]. As suggested recently by Topsøe and Topsøe [10] “they may also be the source of hydrogen during a catalytic cycle”. That is why the existence and origin of sulfhydryl groups on the surface of CoMo– or NiMo–Al₂O₃ catalysts has been the subject of numerous studies and speculations (ref. [10] and references therein).

Satterfield and coworkers [11–13] proposed that the adsorption and dissociation of a H₂S molecule can convert a sulfur vacancy (site I) into a Brønsted acid site (site II) containing a SH group. However, although sites I were considered as hydrogenation sites, nothing was said about to how they could be able to activate or dissociate dihydrogen. Actually, the first piece of evidence of the existence of SH groups was brought by Maternova [14] by using silver ion titration and confirmed by Raman spectroscopy [15]. The connection between the presence of these groups and Brønsted acidity was established only very recently by Topsøe and Topsøe [10]. This accounted for the fact that reactions involving a protonic mechanism could occur on sulfided catalysts [16–18]. According to these authors, SH groups could result from the dissociation of H₂S on vacancy sites. However, SH groups could also be generated by reduction of molybdenum by H₂ to form H⁺ interacting with a sulfide ion. Another proposal was the formation of SH groups by reaction between H₂ and S₂^{2–} [19].

SH groups could indeed be a source of hydrogen if heterolytic dissociation of H₂S and H₂ were considered over dual sites constituted by a vacancy and a sulfur atom [20] as shown here:





If this were to be the case, it could be expected on the basis of microscopic reversibility that the exchange of H atoms between surface SH groups (hence between H₂S) and dihydrogen could be possible.

The aim of this work is to demonstrate the existence of such an exchange by reacting H₂ in the presence of D₂S-enriched H₂S on a NiMo–Al₂O₃ catalyst previously sulfided with a H₂S–H₂ mixture. We chose to use a D₂S–H₂ mixture instead of a H₂S–D₂ mixture [4,5] to avoid the interference of surface hydrogen which could possibly be generated during presulfidation of the catalyst by the H₂–H₂S mixture. By using D₂S and H₂ any incorporation of D atoms into dihydrogen constitutes a clear evidence of the existence of H–D exchange between D₂S (H₂S) (hence between SD groups (SH)) and H₂.

2. Results and discussion

A commercial NiMo–Al₂O₃ catalyst (250 mg; 3.0 wt% NiO, 14 wt% MoO₃ on γ -Al₂O₃, 200 m²/g) was loaded into a small fixed-bed reactor (35 cm³) and presulfided in situ in a flow of H₂S (10%) and H₂ (90%) at 673 K for 15 h under atmospheric pressure. The catalyst was then cooled down to 423 K and swept with H₂. The outlet of the reactor was closed and D₂S-enriched H₂S (15% D₂S) was introduced so as to reach a total pressure of 0.4 MPa. Gas samples were taken from the reactor as function of reaction time and analyzed by mass spectrometry (Balzers QMG412). The same experiments were carried out with alumina (the catalyst support) with the empty reactor and with the reactor filled with glass beads: no reaction was detected in either case.

We can see from table 1 that the H–D exchange with the NiMo–Al₂O₃ catalyst (7–8% HD) after a reaction time of 240 min was quite significant and that the results were reproducible. However, the exchange did not produce the amount expected from a total scrambling of the D and H atoms in the D₂S–H₂S–H₂ mixture

Table 1
Formation of HD^a (mol%) on catalysts (NiMo–Al₂O₃ or Al₂O₃) at 423 K

Time (min)	Exp. 1 NiMo–Al ₂ O ₃	Exp. 2 NiMo–Al ₂ O ₃	Exp. 3 NiMo–Al ₂ O ₃	Exp. 4 Al ₂ O ₃
15	1.8	1.7	–	0.3
60	5.1	5.4	–	0.5
120	–	–	5.2	0.6
240	–	6.8	7.7	0.9

^a No D₂ (or only traces of) was detected. According to the calculation 1.2 mol% only could be expected.

(20% HD). This could be an indication that deactivation, the origin of which is not yet understood, did occur. Another explanation could be that some hydrogen would be present on the surface of the catalyst (either on the support or on the active phase) and would take part in the exchange, which we did not take into account when we estimated the amount of expected HD at equilibrium. Noticeably alumina itself, though much less than $NiMo-Al_2O_3$, is active for H-D exchange between D_2S and H_2 . Nevertheless, the incorporation of D atoms in H_2 shows that the catalyst is capable of dissociating H_2S (D_2S) and H_2 into species presumably SH (SD) groups which make the exchange of hydrogen atoms possible between the two molecules. This means that surface sulfur atoms are able to dissociate dihydrogen presumably through a heterolytic process (assuming that the dissociation of H_2S is heterolytic). Although this process is relatively fast (even at a temperature as low as 423 K), it does not signify that these sites are the only ones capable of hydrogenating unsaturated substrates under hydrotreatment conditions.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank D. Martin for mass spectrometry measurements.

References

- [1] B. Delmon, *Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. on Chemistry and Uses of Molybdenum*, eds. H.F. Barry and P.C.M. Mitchell (Climax Molybdenum Co., Ann Arbor, 1979) pp. 73–84.
- [2] R.T. Hanlon, *Energy Fuels* 1 (1987) 424.
- [3] F.E. Massoth, *J. Catal.* 36 (1975) 164.
- [4] R.L. Wilson, C. Kemball and A.K. Galwey, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* 58 (1962) 583.
- [5] M. Katsumoto, K. Fueki and T. Mukaibo, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* 46 (1973) 3641.
- [6] N. Nelson and R.B. Levy, *J. Catal.* 58 (1979) 485.
- [7] G. Pérot, *Catal. Today* 10 (1991) 447.
- [8] L. Vivier, V. Dominguez, G. Pérot and S. Kasztelan, *J. Mol. Catal.* 67 (1991) 267.
- [9] J.L. Portefaix, M. Cattenot, M. Gueriche and M. Breyse, *Catal. Lett.* 9 (1991) 127.
- [10] N.Y. Topsøe and H. Topsøe, *J. Catal.* 139 (1993) 641.
- [11] C.N. Satterfield, M. Modell and J.F. Mayer, *AIChE J.* 21 (1975) 1100.
- [12] S.H. Yang and C.N. Satterfield, *J. Catal.* 81 (1983) 168.
- [13] S.H. Yang and C.N. Satterfield, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Process Des. Dev.* 23 (1984) 20.
- [14] J. Maternova, *Appl. Catal.* 6 (1983) 61.
- [15] E. Payen, S. Kasztelan and J. Grimblot, *J. Mol. Struct.* 174 (1988) 71.
- [16] R. Ramachandran and F.E. Massoth, *J. Catal.* 67 (1981) 248.
- [17] G. Muralidhar, F.E. Massoth and J. Shabtai, *J. Catal.* 85 (1984) 44.
- [18] S. Karmal, G. Pérot and D. Duprez, *J. Catal.* 130 (1991) 212.
- [19] J.B. Goodenough, *Proc. 4th Int. Conf. on Chemistry and Uses of Molybdenum*, eds. H.F. Barry and P.C.M. Mitchell (Climax Molybdenum Co., Golden, 1982) pp. 1–22.
- [20] S. Kasztelan, *Symp. on Mechanism of HDS/HDN Reactions*, Prepr. Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Petr. Chem. 38 (1993) 642.